Sequence of the $ebgR$ Gene of *Escherichia coli*: Evidence that the EBG and LAC Operons Are Descended from a Common Ancestor
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The sequence of $ebgR$, the gene that encodes the EBG repressor, was determined. There is 44% DNA sequence identity between $ebgR$ and $lacI$, the gene that encodes the LAC repressor. There is also 25% identity between the amino acid sequence of $lacI$ and the deduced amino acid sequence of $ebgR$. The sequence of 596 bp distal to $ebgA$, the structural gene for EBG $\beta$-galactosidase, was also determined. Within that region there were two sequences, 74 and 100 bp long, that showed 46% and 50% identity, respectively, to sequences in the first 600 bp of $lacY$, the structural gene for the lactose permease. The organization and direction of transcription of the repressor and structural genes of the two operons are identical. Taken together with the homology between $ebgA$ and $lacZ$ (as demonstrated in the companion article in this issue), this provides strong evidence that the EBG and LAC operons are descended from a common ancestor. The map position of these two operons supports the notion that these operons diverged following a genome duplication event in an ancestor of *Escherichia coli*.

Introduction

The EBG (evolved $\beta$-galactosidase) system of *Escherichia coli* has been used extensively as a model for the study of acquisitive evolution (Hall 1982a). This operon consists of a repressor encoded by $ebgR$, a $\beta$-galactosidase encoded by $ebgA$, and a 79-kd protein of unknown function encoded by $ebgB$ (Hall and Hartl 1975; Hall and Zuzel 1980). The wild-type repressor is insensitive to lactose as an inducer (Hall 1978), and the wild-type $ebgA$ gene product is a very poor $\beta$-galactosidase (Hall 1981); thus, the wild-type operon does not permit growth on lactose. Mutations in $ebgR$ and $ebgA$ enhance the activity of the operon to the point where it can functionally replace the LAC operon and permit rapid growth on lactose as a sole carbon and energy source (Hall 1982b).

Several observations have suggested the possibility that the two operons arose via gene duplication and divergence. These observations include the virtually identical molecular weights of the polypeptides of the two $\beta$-galactosidases (Hall 1976), the similarity of the catalytic mechanisms of the two $\beta$-galactosidases (Burton and Sinnott 1983), and the overall organization of the two operons (Hall and Zuzel 1980). On the other hand, (1) $ebgA$ $\beta$-galactosidase consists of six subunits, whereas $lacZ$ $\beta$-galactosidase consists of four subunits; (2) there is no detectable immunological cross-
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reaction between the two proteins (Campbell et al. 1973; Arraj and Campbell 1975); and (3) the two repressors fail to recognize each other's operators. To resolve this issue we have sequenced \textit{ebgR} and also \textit{ebgA} (Stokes et al. 1985, in this issue).

\section*{Material and Methods}

\subsection*{Bacterial Strains and Plasmids}

Bacterial strains were MG1063, SJ0R (Stokes and Hall 1984), and JM101 (Sanger et al. 1980). Plasmid pUF25 is pBR322 with the 9.6-kb EBG \textit{Sall} fragment from S5A1032 and encodes the allele \textit{ebgR105^L} (Hall 1978).

\subsection*{Location of \textit{ebgR}}

Plasmids containing the transposon gamma-delta were constructed according to the method described by Sancar and Rupp (1979). The donor strain was MG1063 harboring pUF25 and the recipient was SJ0R. The constitutive phenotype was identified by the enhanced production of pigment on MacConkey indicator plates. Constitutive synthesis by Ebg enzyme was subsequently confirmed by whole-cell assays of O-nitrophenyl-\(\beta\)-galactoside hydrolysis (Hall 1980). The position of \textit{ebgR} was then determined by restriction mapping the position of gamma-delta in plasmids producing a constitutive phenotype. Isolation and manipulation of plasmid DNA and nucleic acid sequencing was accomplished according to the method described in Stokes et al. (1985, in this issue).

\section*{Results}

\subsection*{Locating \textit{ebgR} within the Cloned Segment in Plasmid pUF2}

The \textit{ebgR} coding region was determined by insertional inactivation via transposition of gamma-delta into the plasmid pUF25 as described in Material and Methods. This region comprises a 0.9-kb fragment immediately adjacent to \textit{ebgA} and corresponds to coordinates 7.5–8.4 on the pUF2 restriction map (Stokes and Hall 1984).

\subsection*{Determination of the \textit{ebgR} Gene Sequence}

To sequence the \textit{ebgR} gene, we isolated the 2.6-kb \textit{Bam-Ava} fragment of pUF2 (coordinates 5.7–8.3 on the restriction map [Stokes and Hall 1984]). Restriction fragments of this piece were subcloned into M13 sequencing vectors. Figure 1 shows a subset of those sequenced fragments that define the contiguous \textit{ebgR} sequence. To complete the 5' end of the \textit{ebgR} gene, clones 538 (a \textit{SacI-Sall} fragment) and 576 (an
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\caption{Restriction map and sequencing strategy for \textit{ebgR}. The limits of \textit{ebgR} are defined by the boxed region. Letters refer to restriction sites: \textit{A} = \textit{AvaI}, \textit{C} = \textit{ClaI}, \textit{H} = \textit{HincII}, and \textit{S} = \textit{SacI}.}
\end{figure}
FIG. 2.—Sequence of *ebgR* and deduced amino acid sequence. The sequence of *ebgR* is shown above and aligned with the sequence of *lacI*. Dashed lines indicate gaps introduced in order to align the sequences. Bases underlined in the *lacI* sequence are identical to the aligned base in the *ebgR* sequence, and amino acids that are boldfaced are identical to aligned *ebgR* amino acids.

*Aval–SalI fragment*) were directionally cloned into the appropriate M13 vector. A number of other clones (not shown in fig. 1) were sequenced so that the majority of the sequence was confirmed by sequencing of both strands.

Figure 2 shows the DNA sequence of *ebgR* and the deduced amino acid sequence. This sequence is the only open reading frame in this region in either orientation that is longer than 200 bp. The DNA and amino acid sequences of *IacZ* (Farabough 1978) are shown below the *ebgR* sequences. The two sequences were aligned by eye with the aid of the Cornell DNA Sequencing Program (Fristensky, Lis, and Wu 1982),
which was used to locate regions of significant identity. For the alignment shown, excluding the “gap” regions, there is 44% DNA sequence identity over 915 bp and 25% amino acid identity over 305 amino acids. Because the sequences were aligned by eye rather than by an algorithm that seeks an “optimal” alignment, the percentage of identities is a minimal estimate.

### Sequence Distal to ebga

We have also determined the sequence of a 596-bp segment beginning at the first base after the stop codon of *ebgA* (see fig. 3). BP 178–251 of that segment are 46% identical with bp 244–317 of the *lacY* gene, and bp 497–596 are 50% identical with bp 491–590 of the *lacY* gene (Buchel et al. 1980).

---

**FIG. 2**
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Discussion

The most notable aspect of the \textit{ebgR} DNA sequence is its striking similarity with the sequence of \textit{lacI} (Farabough 1978). Overall, and on a segment-by-segment comparison, the amino acid identity (25\%) is considerably less than the nucleotide identity (44\%). The single exception to this rule occurs in the region of bp 10–69, where the nucleotide identity of 55\% is only slightly above average but the amino acid identity is 65\%, about 2.5 times the average amino acid identity. This suggests that this region of the protein is under stronger selection than is the remainder. This region precisely corresponds to the region of \textit{lacI} that is responsible for DNA binding by the \textit{lac} repressor (Miller et al. 1979). DNA binding regions are strongly conserved among a large number of regulatory proteins (Kelley and Yanofsky 1985). We therefore infer that this region is the operator binding region of the EBG repressor.

The sequence similarity between \textit{ebgR} and \textit{lacI} leads us to conclude that the two genes are homologous, that is, descended from a common ancestral gene. Furthermore, the similarity between the 5' end of \textit{lacY} and the region immediately distal to \textit{ebgA} leads to the conclusion that the entire operon is homologous to the \textit{lac} operon. This conclusion is supported by the sequence similarity of \textit{ebgA} and \textit{IacZ} (Stokes et al. 1985, in this issue) and by the observation that the two operons are organized and transcribed in the same way (fig. 3).

We have long been intrigued by Riley’s hypothesis that the genome of \textit{Escherichia coli} evolved by at least one, and perhaps two, genome duplications (Riley and Anilionis 1978). The proposed genome duplications would generate a circularly permuted chromosome with duplicated genes lying opposite one another. The EBG operon is located at 66 min on the \textit{E. coli} map (Bachmann 1983), whereas the LAC operon is at 8 min, which is roughly, but not exactly, opposite EBG. However, the EBG operon is transcribed in a clockwise direction on the map, whereas the LAC operon is transcribed counterclockwise, indicating than an inversion of one operon with respect to the other must have occurred. Such an inversion could well account for the displacement of the two operons from the expected 180° positions. We consider these observations as being supportive of the Riley hypothesis and suggest that the two operons may have arisen via genome, rather than simple gene, duplication.
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